

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,497	02/26/2004	Takao Kurohata	04117/LH	9122
	7590 11/27/200 OLTZ, GOODMAN &	EXAM	EXAMINER	
220 Fifth Avenue 16TH Floor			NGUYEN, ALLEN H	
	NY 10001-7708		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·	2625			
				•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/789,497	KUROHATA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Allen H. Nguyen	2625		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF STATES OF STATE	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	ebruary 2004.			
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	•	•		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	=			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage		
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice No	ce of References Cited (PTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number:

10/789,497 Art Unit: 2625

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- I. Species of the embodiment disclosed on page 22, line 17 of the Specification in the First Embodiment.
- II. Species of the embodiment disclosed on page 33, line 23 of the Specification in the Second Embodiment.
- III. Species of the embodiment disclosed on page 38, line 1 of the Specification in the Third Embodiment.
- 2. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph.

Application/Control Number:

10/789,497

Art Unit: 2625

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

10/789,497

Art Unit: 2625

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-270-1229. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:00 AM-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, King Poon can be reached on (571)-272-7440. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000

AN

11/21/2007

KING Y. POON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER